

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,265	10/828,265 04/21/2004		Yoshiaki Katou	088473-0165	4834
22428	7590	03/15/2006		EXAM	INER
		DNER LLP		PANG, R	OGER L
SUITE 500 3000 K ST		V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	STON, DO	C 20007		3681	
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/828,265	KATOU, YOSHIAKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roger L. Pang	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or			
,—	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a			
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 and 18-22 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,8-16 and 23-26 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn from considera ed.	tion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-21-04.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/828,265

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to communications filed for application 10/828,265 on February 6, 2006.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3-7, and 18-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 6, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda '353 in view of Spurlin '320. Matsuda teaches a hydraulic control system for an automatic transmission with a wet clutch 24 serving as a start element and points to be lubricated, comprising: a lubrication passage (Fig. 5) which feeds a lubricating oil to the wet clutch and the points of the transmission, a switching valve 320 which switches fluid communication to the wet clutch and the points of the transmission, a distribution controller 214sl which controls the switching valve; a pressure regulator valve 312 arranged on the lubrication passage upstream of the cooler, the pressure regulator valve regulating a pressure of the lubricating oil; a pressure controller 316sl which controls the pressure regulator valve; and an electronic control unit 218 which controls the distribution controller and the pressure controller. Matsuda lacks the teaching of an oil cooler

arranged on the lubrication passage. Spurlin teaches a wet clutch 10 and hydraulic controls for said clutch comprising: a switching valve 142, a lubrication passage (Fig. 2a); a cooler 164 arranged on the lubrication passage the cooler cooling down the lubricating oil, and a regulator valve 143 located upstream of the cooler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Matsuda to employ an oil cooler on the lubrication passage in order to reduce the heat of the clutch and thereby reducing wear.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2, 8-16, and 23-26 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sganao, Kazuhiko, and Iwanaga have been cited to show similar pressure controls based on temperature.

Long, Kunii and Hopper have been cited to show similar switching valves.

Smith has been cited to show an oil cooler upstream of the regulating valve.

Morishita, Tsubata and Kanda have been cited to show similar clutch and hydraulic control arrangements.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to Application/Control Number: 10/828,265

Art Unit: 3681

submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby	certify that the	is correspond	lence is	being :	facsimile	transmit	ted to	the F	Patent	and
Tradema	ark Office (Fax	No. (571) 2	73-8300) on _		(Da	te)			

yped or printed name of person signing this certificate:	•
Signature)	

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please

Art Unit: 3681

do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roger L Pang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681